## EXHIBIT 14

```
1
      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2.
     GOVERNMENT OF THE
3
     UNITED STATES VIRGIN
     ISLANDS,
                                 CASE NO.
4
                                 1:22-CV-10904
    Plaintiff,
                                 -JSR
5
           V.
6
     JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,
7
    N.A.,
8
     Defendant/Third Party
     Plaintiff.
9
     JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,
10
    N.A.,
11
     Third Party Plaintiff,
12
           v.
13
     JAMES EDWARD STALEY,
14
     Third Party Defendant. :
15
      CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
16
                    May 3, 2023
17
                       Videotaped deposition
   of WILLIAM D. LANGFORD, taken pursuant to
18
   notice, was held at the law offices of
   Boies Schiller Flexner LLP, 55 Hudson
19
   Yards, New York, New York, and remotely, beginning at 9:37 a.m., on the above
20
   date, before Michelle L. Gray, a
21
   Registered Professional Reporter,
   Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certified
22
   Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public.
23
           GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
          877.370.3377 ph 917.591.5672
24
                  deps@golkow.com
```

```
1
                 So this one is "AML Global
2
   Best Practices" -- or maybe that's -- I'm
3
   sorry. "AML Laws and Regulations & The
   JPMC Global Anti-Money Laundering
5
   Program."
6
                 (Document marked for
7
           identification as Exhibit
8
           Langford-5.)
9
   BY MS. SINGER:
10
           Q. So this is going to be
11
   Exhibit 5. Fortunately, this one has
12
   Bates numbers, so it's going to be easier
13
   for everybody to follow along.
14
                 Take your time. I just want
15
   to introduce it.
16
                 So this is a presentation
17
   that also has your name on it, correct?
18
                 Yes, it does have my name.
           Α.
19
                 And as well as the JPMorgan
           0.
20
   Chase logo at the bottom left?
21
           Α.
                 Yes.
22
                And this one is dated
           0.
23
   June 25, 2009, correct?
24
           Α.
                 Yes.
```

```
Q. Okay. And is this a
```

- <sup>2</sup> presentation that you recall?
- A. I don't recall it
- <sup>4</sup> specifically, but I'm sure it is.
- Okay. Now, who is Barry
- 6 Koch?
- A. Barry Koch was our lawyer,
- <sup>8</sup> FinCEN lawyer at the time.
- 9 Q. Okay. Okay. So we're just
- going to turn to Slide 4, which is Bates
- 11 number -- it has a number on it. So this
- is "AML Basics Other Key Terms."
- Do you see the bullet point
- "Willful Blindness"?
- <sup>15</sup> A. Yes.
- 16 Q. "Individuals and companies
- 17 can be found quilty of criminal money
- laundering if they 'turn a blind eye' to
- money laundering by others (including
- <sup>20</sup> failing to report potentially suspicious
- <sup>21</sup> activity) or if they consciously avoid
- 22 the truth."
- Have I read that correctly?
- $^{24}$  A. Yes.

- Q. Why did you include willful
- <sup>2</sup> blindness in this presentation?
- A. It's part of the Bank
- 4 Secrecy Act.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. It's part of the Bank --
- A. It's part of the Bank
- <sup>7</sup> Secrecy Act, yeah.
- Q. Okay. And was there a
- 9 reason that you wanted to convey this
- 10 concept to people who might be listening
- 11 to this presentation?
- A. It's part of the
- 13 requirements. Can't be wilfully blind.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- A. And, again, I don't remember
- this, but I would have wanted to include
- it generally if I'm talking about the
- 18 background.
- Q. Okay. All right. And let's
- turn to Bates number 765. When I say
- 21 Bates number, that's the stamped number
- in the bottom right, the one that ends
- <sup>23</sup> 765.
- It's titled "Corporate

```
1
2
3
4
5
6
   BY MS. SINGER:
7
                 Okay. And absent an
           Ο.
8
   explanation, a legitimate explanation --
   I'm sorry, an explanation of the
10
   legitimate use of that cash, what would
11
   your opinion be about the nature of that
12
   activity?
13
                 MR. KRAUSE: Objection.
14
                 THE WITNESS: It would be
15
           pure speculation without all the
16
           facts and circumstances. If there
17
           was no explanation, you know, I
18
           would consider reporting it.
19
   BY MS. SINGER:
20
                 I want to show you an
           Ο.
21
   exhibit that we put together with Jeffrey
22
   Epstein's cash transactions. And this is
23
   drawn from CTRs, currency transaction
24
   reports, produced to us in discovery.
```

```
1
                 Now, I take it you're
   familiar with the term "currency
2
3
   transaction report" or "CTR"?
4
           Α.
                 Oh, yes.
5
                What is it?
           0.
6
                 Any cash transaction in or
           Α.
7
   out, cash, cash equivalent to $10,000 and
   up has to be reported as a transaction
   that goes into the database maintained, I
10
   think, by the IRS but available to FinCEN
11
   and law enforcement.
12
                 Okay. And that transaction
           Ο.
13
   report is called a currency transaction
14
   report, or CTR, correct?
15
          A. Yes. Yep.
16
          Q. Okay. So this is
17
   Exhibit 17. Cash Transactions From CTRs.
18
                 (Document marked for
19
           identification as Exhibit
20
          Langford-17.)
21
   BY MS. SINGER:
22
                And, again, this is a
           Ο.
23
   document we prepared.
                 You can see that, based on
24
```

- 1 this, Jeffrey Epstein moved \$840,000 in
- <sup>2</sup> cash from his account, the title is in
- 3 the -- the total is in the top row for
- <sup>4</sup> the year. \$840,000 in 2004.
- Is that what this document
- 6 reflects?
- A. That's what it says.
- MR. GAIL: Objection.
- 9 BY MS. SINGER:
- Q. And in 2005, \$904,000 and a
- 11 little bit, correct?
- 12 A. That's what it says. I
- 13 didn't add up the numbers.
- 14 Q. Okay. And in 2006 it was
- 15 \$938,265, as represented here?
- A. As represented, yep.
- Q. Okay. So that adds up,
- 18 roughly, and I won't do math either, but
- to roughly \$2.7 million, correct?
- A. I -- without a calculator, I
- 21 apologize. Math major, but I don't do
- <sup>22</sup> that well.
- Q. That's why we're all
- <sup>24</sup> lawyers.

```
1
                 Do you believe that this
2
   information on cash transaction from
3
   Jeffrey Epstein's reports would have been
4
   relevant and useful to law enforcement
5
   investigating Jeffrey Epstein's conduct
6
   between 2004 and 2006?
7
                 MR. GAIL: Objection.
8
                 THE WITNESS: So I'm not
9
           sure how I know what's relevant or
10
           not to law enforcement without
11
           talking to them about it, I would
12
           say, Number 1.
13
                 Number 2, that this is
14
           available to them, right. That's
15
           a CTR.
16
                 Again, our focus on cash is
17
           typically when somebody tries to
18
           avoid the currency transaction
19
           report requirement, so it then
20
           becomes harder to track.
21
   BY MS. SINGER:
22
                 Now, but filing a CTR
           Ο.
23
   doesn't relieve a bank of the
24
   responsibility to file a suspicious
```

```
activity report, correct?
1
2
                 If the SAR is required, a
           Α.
3
   SAR is required, independent of a CTR.
4
                 Okay. And the SAR report,
           0.
5
   as we've discussed, has significance
6
   independent of any other information that
7
   may be conveyed to law enforcement,
8
   correct?
9
                 MR. KRAUSE: Objection.
10
                 MR. GAIL: Objection.
11
                 THE WITNESS: I mean, it's
12
           different, right. It conveys the
13
           suspicion, whereas, a CTR does not
14
           convey suspicion. It's a raw fact
15
           of a transaction.
16
   BY MS. SINGER:
17
                And a SAR would also put
           Ο.
18
   that information in the context of the
19
   bank's assessment and any other facts or
20
   information it may have, correct?
21
                 MR. KRAUSE: Objection.
22
                 THE WITNESS: To the extent
23
           that's relevant, yes.
24
   BY MS. SINGER:
```

```
1
          pursuant to our procedures and
2
           standards, yes.
3
   BY MS. SINGER:
4
                 All right. The next e-mail
           0.
   is JPM-SDNYLIT-00152833, and we'll mark
5
6
   this as Exhibit 40.
7
                 (Document marked for
8
           identification as Exhibit
9
          Langford-40.)
10
   BY MS. SINGER:
11
           O. And this is an e-mail
12
   between you and Steven Cutler dated
13
   January 21, 2011, correct?
14
                Yes, it is.
           Α.
15
                Okay. And it provides you
           Q.
16
   with a telephone number for Ken Starr,
17
   correct?
18
                 It appears that way, yes.
           Α.
19
                 And this meeting was a week
20
   after your meeting with Jes Staley and
21
   Catherine Keating, correct?
22
           Α.
                 Yes.
23
           Q. And were you talking to Ken
24
   Starr pursuant to Jes Staley's request
```

```
1
   that you talk to Jeffrey Epstein's
2
   lawyer?
3
          A. Yes.
4
                Did you agree with the
5
   suggestion that talking to Ken Starr
6
   would be helpful to you?
7
                 MR. GAIL: Objection.
8
                 THE WITNESS: I was happy to
9
          accommodate it, but I didn't think
10
          it was going to change my mind.
11
   BY MS. SINGER:
12
                All right. So let's turn to
           0.
13
   Estate_005175, which we'll mark as
14
   Exhibit 41.
15
                 (Document marked for
16
           identification as Exhibit
17
          Langford-41.)
18
   BY MS. SINGER:
19
          Q. And this is a February 17,
20
   2011, e-mail chain between Kenneth Starr
21
   and Jeffrey Epstein. And it reports,
22
   "Just off the phone. Went well. Almost
23
   half an hour" -- I'm sorry. "Almost a
24
   half hour. John Schwartz/William
```

- Q. And do you know why Steve
- <sup>2</sup> Cutler didn't join that call?
- A. I don't recall, no.
- O. So it sounds like what Ken
- <sup>5</sup> Starr was representing was that Epstein
- 6 had acted inappropriately but not
- <sup>7</sup> criminally. Is that consistent with your
- 8 recollection of the conversation?
- A. I think so. Yeah, it's been
- $^{10}$  a while. But it was words to that
- 11 effect.
- Q. And was it a conversation of
- 13 about half an hour?
- 14 A. I don't recall the length.
- Q. Did you ask Ken Starr any
- 16 questions, or did John Schwartz ask Ken
- 17 Starr any questions?
- A. After we initiated the call
- 19 and introduced, I don't recall asking
- questions. I may have or John may have,
- but it was mostly listening to Ken Starr.
- Q. And did Ken Starr say
- <sup>23</sup> anything about a plea being overturned
- or -- yes?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And what did he say?
- A. He said they were working to
- <sup>4</sup> have the plea deal thrown out.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Do you recall anything else
- 6 about the conversation with you, John
- <sup>7</sup> Schwartz, and Ken Starr?
- 8 A. No. It's -- was sort of
- 9 about what I expected for an attorney
- 10 representing Epstein.
- Q. And what do you mean by
- 12 that?
- 13 A. I mean he was representing
- 14 Epstein. Trying to suggest, you know,
- 15 again, that was not a crime.
- 16 Inappropriate, as he says here. But
- 17 trying to convince us that it wasn't a
- 18 crime.
- Q. Okay. And that's what you
- would have expected from Epstein's
- 21 criminal defense attorney, correct?
- <sup>22</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And do you recall this
- 24 story?

```
1
                 I do not.
           Α.
2
                 I'm sure it was a good one.
           0.
3
                 Did you -- did you get any
4
   information from Ken Starr about why
5
   Jeffrey Epstein was taking so much cash
6
   from his accounts at JPMorgan?
7
           Α.
                 No.
8
                 Did you get any information
   from Ken Starr about Epstein's wire
10
   transfers to Eastern European women?
11
           Α.
                 No.
12
                 Did you get any information
           Ο.
13
   from Ken Starr about Jeffrey Epstein's
14
   payment to
15
           Α.
                 No.
16
                 In your professional
           Ο.
17
   experience, is it customary to assess a
18
   banking client by talking to that banking
19
   client's criminal defense attorney?
20
                 MR. KRAUSE:
                               Objection.
21
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                      Sorry,
22
           your microphone.
23
                 MR. KRAUSE: Sorry.
                                        Hold
24
           on.
```

```
1
                 THE WITNESS: This was the
2.
          first and probably, I think, the
3
          only time I've been involved in
4
          talking to the defense attorney in
5
          connection with a client
6
          relationship.
7
   BY MS. SINGER:
8
          Q. Do you know whether JPMorgan
   ever met with any of the women who
10
   received wire transfers from Jeffrey
11
   Epstein?
12
             I don't know.
13
          Q. Do you know whether the bank
14
   ever met with
                                  or
15
     , who are the bank's customers
16
   through Jeffrey Epstein?
17
                 I don't know that.
18
          Q. Did you ever see that the
19
   bank had any of those conversations?
20
          Α.
                 I have not seen any
21
   indication that they have.
22
                All right. Let's turn to
          Ο.
23
   JPM-SDNYLIT-0012641.
24
                 MS. SINGER: And we're going
```

```
the lawyers. We talked to the
```

- lawyers. I continued to press for
- exit, and there was no exit.
- 4 That's what I know.
- 5 Other than that, I didn't
- 6 have additional conversations with
- Jes or anybody else.
- 8 BY MS. SINGER:
- 9 Q. And I take it, by the way,
- the conversation with Ken Starr didn't
- 11 change your view about exiting -- exiting
- 12 Jeffrey Epstein, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And was there anybody -- did
- <sup>15</sup> anybody ever try to go around Jes Staley
- to terminate Jeffrey Epstein as a client
- of the bank?
- MR. KRAUSE: Objection.
- 19 BY MS. SINGER:
- Q. To your knowledge,
- 21 Mr. Langford.
- A. Yeah, I don't know. Going
- <sup>23</sup> around, I'm not sure how that would work.
- <sup>24</sup> But I'm not aware of anybody trying to

- A. I don't know. I don't know
- <sup>2</sup> if he was already head of Baylor or not.
- Q. The president at -- at some
- 4 point he becomes president --
- 5 A. I don't know if it was at
- <sup>6</sup> that point or not.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Before he became president
- <sup>8</sup> of Baylor, am I correct that Mr. Starr
- 9 also served as the solicitor general of
- <sup>10</sup> the United States?
- 11 A. I don't know for sure.
- 12 Q. Do you know whether he
- served as a senate-confirmed judge on the
- 14 United States Court of Appeals?
- A. No, I don't know that.
- Okay. You testified that
- you didn't discuss with Starr cash from
- 18 Epstein's accounts and wire transfers.
- 19 Do you recall that testimony?
- <sup>20</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Why not?
- A. We were meeting with Ken
- 23 Starr at the request of Jes, to have him
- explain to us why it was that he thought

- <sup>1</sup> that Epstein's plea agreement would be
- <sup>2</sup> tossed out.
- Q. Couple more questions and
- 4 we'll be done.
- on human trafficking, did
- <sup>6</sup> Jeffrey Epstein's account activity fit
- <sup>7</sup> within any of the typology developed as
- 9 part of the human trafficking project
- <sup>9</sup> that you helped launch?
- 10 A. No. We discussed earlier,
- 11 they didn't. We were focused on the
- 12 business of trafficking versus
- 13 consumer -- the consumer of the
- 14 trafficked victim.
- Q. At any time while you were
- in your roles at JPMorgan, did you
- believe that Epstein was using his
- 18 JPMorgan accounts to run a human
- 19 trafficking scheme?
- MS. SINGER: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: No.
- 22 BY MR. GAIL:
- Q. If you had believed at any
- time that Epstein was running a human